uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Digital Communications Grades in English

Chapter 1

Pulse amplitude (linear) modulations

Marcelino Lázaro

Departamento de Teoría de la Señal y Comunicaciones Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

 \odot

uc3m Carlos II

Objectives

- Generation of bandlimited signals: signals with a finite bandwidth
 - Because real channels are bandlimited (finite available bandwidth)
 - Bandwidth of a signal
 - * Range of POSITIVE frequencies with non-null components
 - **★** Usual notation: *B* Hz, $W = 2\pi B$ rad/s
 - Baseband signals
 - Bandpass signals (central frequency ω_c rad/s)



Index of contents

- Baseband PAM modulations
 - Constellations and transmission filters
 - Power spectral density
 - Equivalent discrete channel
 - ★ Transmission through Gaussian channels
 - * Transmission through linear channels
 - Inter-Symbol Interference (ISI)
 - Characterization of discrete-time noise sequence
- Bandpass PAM modulations
 - Generation of bandpass modulated signals
 - Constellations
 - Power spectral density
 - Equivalent discrete channel
 - * Transmission through Gaussian channels
 - * Transmission through linear channels
 - Characterization of discrete-time noise sequence

```
uc3m Griefandi
de Madrid Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 3/167
```

Communication Theory - Basic model

• Linear modulation in a N-dimensional signal space

$$\left(s(t) = \sum_{n} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} A_j[n] \phi_j(t-nT)\right)$$

- Information is linearly conveyed
 - ★ In the amplitude of the set of N functions $\{\phi_j(t)\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$
- Encoder: A[n]
 - ★ Constellation in a space of dimension N
 - * Designed considering energy (E_s) and performance (P_e, BER)
 - E_s : mean energy per symbol ($E_s = E[|A[n]|^2]$)
 - Pe: probability of symbol error
 - BER: bit error rate
- Modulator: $\{\phi_j(t)\}_{j=0}^{N-1}$
 - ★ Designed considering channel characteristics
 - ★ Ideally: the only distortion appearing after the transmission is additive noise (white and Gaussian)

Baseband PAM modulation

• One-dimensional modulation: N = 1

 $s(t) = \sum_{n} A[n] g(t - nT) \begin{cases} \text{PAM (Pulse Amplitude Modulation)} \\ \text{ASK (Amplitude Shift Keying)} \end{cases}$

• Symbol length *T* (inverse of symbol rate $R_s = 1/T$ bauds)

- Sequence *A*[*n*] is the sequence of symbols
 - Alphabet is called constellation (1-D plot)
 - Conversion from bits to symbols: encoder
 - **\star** *M*-ary constellations (*M*-PAM)

 $M = 2^m$ symbols $m = \log_2 M$ bits/symbol

- ★ Binary assignment: Gray encoding
- ★ Normalized levels:

$$\left\{A[n] \in \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (M-1)\}, \quad E_s = E\left[|A[n]|^2\right] = \frac{M^2 - 1}{3} \mathsf{J}$$

- Waveform g(t) (one dimensional orthonormal basis):
 - Normalization: unit energy ($\mathcal{E}\{g(t)\} = 1 \text{ J}$)
 - Tipically receives two names
 - ★ Transmitter filter
 - Shaping pulse (although it is not necessarily a pulse)



Examples of *M***-PAM constellations**

- Normalized levels: $\overline{A[n] \in \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (M-1)\}}$
 - Distance to the decision thresholds for equiprobable symbols is 1
- Binary assignment by Gray encoding
 - Assignments for symbols at minimum distance differ in a single bit
- Examples: 2-PAM, 4-PAM, 8-PAM



Unnormalized *M*-PAM constellations

• Alphabet of the constellation

$$A[n] \in \{\pm d, \pm 3d, \cdots, \pm (M-1)d\}$$

- Distance to the decision thresholds for equiprobable symbols is d
- Mean energy per symbol

$$E_s = E\left[|A[n]|^2
ight] = d^2 imes rac{M^2 - 1}{3} \mathsf{J}$$



Encoder: Symbol rate vs. bit rate

- Symbol duration (or symbol length): T seconds
 - A symbol of sequence A[n] is transmited each T seconds
- *M*-ary constellations transmit $m = \log_2 M$ bits per symbol
 - Binary assignment: Gray encoding

• There are two related transmission rates in a digital system

Symbol rate (for symbol sequence A[n])

$$\left[R_s=rac{1}{T} ext{ bauds (symbols/s)}
ight]$$

• Binary rate (for bit sequence $B_b[\ell]$)

$$R_b = rac{1}{T_b} ext{ bits/s}$$

• Relationship between transmission rates

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_b = m \times R_s & R_s = \frac{R_b}{m} & T = m \times T_b & T_b = \frac{T}{m} \end{bmatrix}$$

PAM modulation as a filtering process

• Conversion of discrete time sequence A[n] to continuous time signal

Signal of symbols: train of impulses (deltas) with amplitudes A[n] at nT



Example: modulation of a binary sequence (initial 10 bits)



Spectrum of a baseband PAM

PAM baseband signal

$$s(t) = \sum_{n} A[n] g(t - nT)$$

- Let $\{A[n]\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of random variables (stationary random process):
 - Mean energy per symbol $E_s = E[|A[n]|^2]$
 - Mean $m_A[n] = E[A[n]] = m_A$ ($m_A = 0$ for *M*-PAM constellations)
 - Autocorrelation function
 D [u + l +]
 D [u + l +]

$$R_{A}[n+k,n] = E[A[n+k] A^{*}[n]] = R_{A}[k]$$

Power spectral density function of A[n] is

$$S_A(e^{j\omega}) = \mathcal{FT} \{ R_A[k] \} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_A[k] e^{-j\omega k}$$

• Let g(t) be any deterministic function with Fourier transform $G(j\omega)$ uram Carossil Constitution Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 11/167

Review: Wiener-Khinchin theorem

Power spectral density

$$S_X(j\omega) \stackrel{def}{=} E\left[\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{|X^{[T]}(j\omega)|^2}{T}\right] = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} E\left[|X^{[T]}(j\omega)|^2\right]$$

Interpretation: average of the squared frequency response of the (truncated) process

Wiener-Khinchin theorem

If for any finite value τ ans any interval A, of length $|\tau|$, the autocorrelation f random process fulfills

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{A}} R_X(t+\tau,t) \ dt\right| < \infty$$

power spectral density of X(t) is given by the Fourier transform of

$$S_X(j\omega) = \mathcal{FT} \{ \langle R_X(t+ au,t) \rangle \}$$

 $\langle R_X(t+ au,t)
angle \stackrel{def}{=} \lim_{T \to \infty} rac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} R_X(t+ au,t) dt$

Corollary of Wiener-Khinchin theorem

• Corollary 1: If X(t) is an stationary process and $\tau R_X(\tau) < \infty$ for all $\tau < \infty$, then

$$S_X(j\omega) = \mathcal{FT} \{R_X(\tau)\}$$

• Corollary 2: If X(t) is cyclostationary and

$$\left|\int_0^{T_o} R_X(t+\tau,t)dt\right| < \infty$$

then

$$S_X(j\omega) = \mathcal{FT}\left\{\widetilde{R}_X(\tau)\right\}$$

where

$$\widetilde{R}_X(\tau) = \frac{1}{T_o} \int_{-T_o/2}^{T_o/2} R_X(t+\tau,t) dt$$

and T_o is the period of the cyclostationary process

ucam Group Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 13/167

Mean and autocorrelation of a baseband PAM

$$s(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A[n] g(t - nT)$$
$$m_S(t) = E\left[\sum_n A[n]g(t - nT)\right] = \sum_n \underbrace{E[A[n]]}_{m_A[n]} g(t - nT) = m_A \sum_n g(t - nT)$$

$$R_{S}(t+\tau,t) = E[s(t+\tau) \ s^{*}(t)]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\sum_{k} A[k] \ g(t+\tau-kT)\right) \left(\sum_{j} A^{*}[j] \ g^{*}(t-jT)\right)\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{j} \underbrace{E[A[k] \ A^{*}[j]]}_{R_{A}[k-j]} \ g(t+\tau-kT) \ g^{*}(t-jT)$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{j} R_{A}[k-j] \ g(t+\tau-kT) \ g^{*}(t-jT)$$

Cyclostationarity

• Mean is a periodical function of *t* (period *T*)

$$m_{S}(t+T) = m_{A} \sum_{n} g(t+T-nT) = m_{A} \sum_{n} g(t-(n-1)T)$$
$${}^{n'=n-1} m_{A} \sum_{n'} g(t-n'T) = m_{S}(t)$$

• Autocorrelation is a periodical function of *t* (period *T*)

$$R_{S}(t + \tau + T, t + T) =$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{j} R_{A}[k - j] g(t + \tau + T - kT)g^{*}(t + T - jT)$$

$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{j} R_{A}[k - j] g(t + \tau - (k - 1)T)g^{*}(t - (j - 1)T)$$

$${}^{k'=k-1, j'=j-1} \sum_{k'} \sum_{j'} R_{A}[(k' + 1) - (j' + 1)] g(t + \tau - k'T)g^{*}(t - j'T)$$

$$= \sum_{k'} \sum_{j'} R_{A}[k' - j'] g(t + \tau - k'T)g^{*}(t - j'T + \tau) = R_{S}(t + \tau, t)$$
with the second second second second second second 15/167

Time average of autocorrelation function

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}_{S}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} R_{S}(t+\tau,t) \ dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{k} \sum_{j} R_{A}[k-j] \ g(t+\tau-kT)g^{*}(t-jT) \ dt \\ &\stackrel{m=k-j}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[m] \int_{0}^{T} g(t+\tau-kT)g^{*}(t-(k-m)T) \ dt \\ &\stackrel{u=t+\tau-kT}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[m] \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\tau-kT}^{\tau-(k-1)T} g(u)g^{*}(u-\tau+mT) \ du \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[m] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u)g^{*}(-(\tau-mT-u)) \ du \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[k] \ r_{g}(\tau-kT) \\ &r_{g}(t) = g(t) * g^{*}(-t) \end{split}$$



Power spectral density (PSD)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}_{S}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[k] r_{g}(\tau - kT) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[k] \delta(\tau - kT) \right) * r_{g}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[k] \delta(\tau - kT) \right) * g(\tau) * g^{*}(-\tau) \end{split}$$

$$S_{S}(j\omega) = \mathcal{FT} \left\{ \tilde{R}_{S}(\tau) \right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} R_{A}[k] e^{-j\omega kT} \right) G(j\omega) G^{*}(j\omega)$$
$$= \frac{1}{T} S_{A}(e^{j\omega T}) |G(j\omega)|^{2}$$

uc3m Cincilia Consultation Cons OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 17/167

Power spectral density - Analysis

$$S_S(j\omega) = rac{1}{T} \; S_A(e^{j\omega T}) \; |G(j\omega)|^2$$

- Three contributions:
 - A constant scale factor given by symbol rate: $\frac{1}{T} = R_s$ bauds
 - A deterministic component given by g(t): |G(jω)|²
 A statistical component given by A[n]: S_A(e^{jω})
 - - **★** Evaluated at ωT , i.e. $S_A(e^{j\omega T})$
- For white sequences A[n] (the most typical case)

$$R_A[k] = E_s \ \delta[k] \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad S_A(e^{j\omega}) = E_s = E\left[|A[n]|^2\right]$$

$$\left[S_{S}(j\omega)=rac{E_{s}}{T}|G(j\omega)|^{2}=E_{s}R_{s}|G(j\omega)|^{2}
ight]$$

• g(t): Shaping pulse (determines the shape of spectrum)

Example pulses



Example pulses (II)



Examples of $S_S(j\omega)$: white data sequence A[n]



Examples of $S_S(j\omega)$: coloured data sequence A[n]

- PSD shape can be modified by introducing correlation in the transmitted data sequence
- Typical information data: white sequence $A_w[n]$
 - *M*-PAM: $A_w[n] \in \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \cdots, \pm (M-1)\}$
 - Mean energy per symbol: $E_s = E\left[\left|A_w[n]\right|^2\right] = \frac{M^2 1}{3}$
- Generation of a non-white (*coloured*) sequence A[n]

Example:
$$A[n] = A_w[n] + A_w[n-1]$$

• Transmission of the coloured sequence A[n]



Autocorrelation function of A[n]

- Autocorrelation of $A_w[n]$: $R_{A_w}[k] = E_s \ \delta[k]$
- Autocorrelation function of *A*[*n*]

$$R_{A}[k] = E [A[n + k] A^{*}[n]]$$

$$= E [(A_{w}[n + k] + A_{w}[n + k - 1]) (A_{w}^{*}[n] + A_{w}^{*}[n - 1])]$$

$$= E [A_{w}[n + k] A_{w}^{*}[n]] + E [A_{w}[n + k] A_{w}^{*}[n - 1]]$$

$$+ E [A_{w}[n + k - 1] A_{w}^{*}[n]] + E [A_{w}[n + k - 1] A_{w}^{*}[n - 1]]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + R_{A_{w}}[k + 1] + R_{A_{w}}[k - 1] + R_{A_{w}}[k]$$

$$= 2R_{A_{w}}[k] + R_{A_{w}}[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1])$$

$$2E_{s} + R_{A_{w}}[k]$$

$$= 2E_{s} + R_{A_{w}}[k]$$

$$= 2E_{s} + R_{A_{w}}[k]$$

$$= 2E_{s} + R_{A_{w}}[k]$$

$$= 2R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1])$$

$$2E_{s} + R_{A_{w}}[k]$$

$$= 2R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1])$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k + 1] + \delta[k - 1]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k] + \delta[k]$$

$$= R_{A_{w}}[k] + \delta[k] +$$

Power spectral density

• PSD for sequence A[n]

$$egin{aligned} S_A\left(e^{j\omega}
ight) =& \mathcal{FT}\left\{R_A[k]
ight\} = \sum_k R_A[k] \; e^{-j\omega k} \ =& E_s\left(e^{j\omega}+2\; e^{j0}+e^{-j\omega}
ight) \ =& 2E_s\; \left[1+\cos(\omega)
ight] \end{aligned}$$

• PSD for baseband PAM signal s(t)This system transmits coloured data sequence A[n]

$$S_S(j\omega) = rac{1}{T} S_A(e^{j\omega T}) |G(j\omega)|^2$$

Evaluating the previously obtained expression for $S_A(e^{j\omega})$ in ωT we have

$$S_S(j\omega) = \frac{2E_s}{T} \left[1 + \cos(\omega T)\right] |G(j\omega)|^2$$





Power spectral density with $g_a(t)$







ucam Gradie Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 27/167

Power spectral density with $g_b(t)$





Power of a baseband PAM modulation

• Power can be obtained from $S_S(j\omega)$

$$\left(P_{S}=rac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}S_{S}(j\omega)\;d\omega
ight)$$

• For white symbol sequences A[n]: $S_S(j\omega) = \frac{E_s}{T} |G(j\omega)|^2$

$$P_{S} = \underbrace{\frac{E_{s}}{T}}_{E_{s} \times R_{s}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(j\omega)|^{2} d\omega}_{\mathcal{E}\{g(t)\}}$$

• If g(t) is normalized, by applying Parseval's relationship

$$P_S = \frac{E_s}{T} = E_s \times R_s$$
 Watts

ucam Carling Constant Carling Carling

Selection of g(t) waveforms

- Selection to be able to identify sequence A[n] by sampling s(t)
 - (a) Pulses with duration limited to symbol period: T seconds
 - ★ No overlapping between waveforms delayed *nT* seconds

Example :
$$g_a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \prod \left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$$

- ★ Symbol A[n] determines signal amplitude in its associated symbol interval
- ★ Drawback: infinite bandwidth
- (b) Pulses with infinite length: finite bandwidth
 - Overlapping: non-destructive interference at some point each T seconds (periodical zeros)

$$g(nT) = 0, \ \forall n \neq 0; \ \mathsf{Example} : g_b(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \ \mathrm{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right)$$

★ Symbol A[n] determines signal amplitude at the nondestructive point associated to its symbol interval



Rectangle : Contribution of each symbol





Sinc : Contribution of each symbol



Modulated PAM signal s(t)



Recovery of A[n] from s(t) using a matched filter

- Recovery of A[n] in an ideal scenario
 - There is no distortion over s(t)
 - A matched filter (matched to g(t)) is applied on s(t)
 - Recovery of A[n] sampling q(t) (output of the filter)

$$A[n] \xrightarrow{g(t)} s(t) \xrightarrow{g(-t)} q(t) \xrightarrow{q[n]} q(t)$$

$$r_g(t) = g(t) * g(-t) \xrightarrow{q(t)} t = nT$$

$$s(t) = \sum_n A[n] g(t - nT) \qquad q(t) = \sum_n A[n] r_g(t - nT)$$

- Conditions to recover A[n] from q[n] (by sampling q(t))
 - The same as before, but applied on $r_g(t)$ instead of on g(t)
 - ★ Conditions for pulses of kind (a)
 - $r_g(t)$ of duration T
 - ★ Conditions for pulses of kind (b)
 - Periodical zeros on $r_g(t)$ ($r_g(nT) = 0 \ \forall n \neq 0$)

REMARK: If duration of g(t) is lower than *T*, $r_g(t)$ satisfies conditions (b)

Shape of $r_g(t)$ for pulses of previous examples



Received signal q(t)



Recovery of A[n] **transmitting through a channel (noiseless)**

- Recovery of A[n] transmitting through a channel
 - For the sake of simplicity, noise is neglected
 - A receiver filter f(t) is applied at the channel output
 - ★ Usual choice: f(t) = g(-t) (matched filter)

$$A[n] \xrightarrow{g(t)} s(t) \xrightarrow{h(t)} r(t) \xrightarrow{f(t)} q(t) \xrightarrow{q[n]} q(t)$$

$$p(t) = g(t) * h(t) * f(t) \qquad t = nT$$

$$s(t) = \sum_{n} A[n] g(t - nT) \qquad q(t) = \sum_{n} A[n] p(t - nT)$$

- Now conditions have to be assessed on p(t)
 - Duration limited to T seconds
 - Cyclic zero values each T seconds
- Design to satisfy these conditions
 - Transmitter g(t) and receiver g(-t) can be designed
 - Channel response h(t) is given: it is not a design parameter

```
uc3m Conversional Conversional August August
```

Equivalent discrete channel



- Provides the discrete time expression for observations at the output of the demodulator *q*[*n*] as a function of the transmitted sequence *A*[*n*]
 - In ideal systems: q[n] = A[n] + z[n]
 If z[n] is Gaussian, conditional distributions for observations (given A[n] = a_i)

$$f_{\boldsymbol{q}[n]|A[n]}(\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{a}_i) = \frac{1}{(\pi N_o)^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{||\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{a}_i||^2}{N_0}}$$

- Expressions will now be obtained for two channel models
 - Gaussian channel
 - Linear channel

uc3m Carlos III

Transmission of PAM signals over Gaussian channels



Gaussian Channel

Gaussian channel model

Distortion during transmission is limited to noise addition

$$r(t) = s(t) + n(t)$$

n(t): stationary random process, white, Gaussian, zero mean, $S_n(j\omega) = N_0/2$

- Receiver filter f(t)
 - Typical set up: matched filter

 $f(t) = g^*(-t) = g(-t)$, because g(t) is real

Signal at the input of the sampler

$$q(t) = s(t) * f(t) + n(t) * f(t)$$

uc3m Carros II Control Carros Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 41/167

Equivalent discrete channel for Gaussian channels

Signal before sampling

$$q(t) = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k}^{s(t)} S(t-kT)\right)}_{k} * f(t) + \underbrace{n(t) * f(t)}_{k}$$
Filtered noise $z(t)$

Noiseless output o(t)

$$o(t) = \sum_{k} A[k] \left(g(t - kT) * f(t) \right) = \sum_{k} A[k] p(t - kT)$$

• p(t) = g(t) * f(t): joint transmitter-receiver response

This joint response determines the noiseless output at the receiver

Observation at demodulator output

uc3m Carlos III

$$q[n] = q(t)|_{t=nT} = q(nT) = \sum_{k} A[k] p((n-k)T) + z(nT)$$

Equivalent discrete channel for Gaussian channels (II)

• Definition of equivalent discrete channel p[n]

$$p[n] = p(t)|_{t=nT}$$

$$q[n] = \sum_{k} A[k] p[n-k] + z[n] = A[n] * p[n] + z[n]$$

$$\xrightarrow{A[n]} p[n] \xrightarrow{o[n]} q[n]}$$

$$z[n]$$

• Definition por joint response p(t) (or $P(j\omega)$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p(t) = g(t) * f(t) & \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} & P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) \; F(j\omega) \end{array}$$

Using matched filters:

$$f(t) = g(-t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad F(j\omega) = G^*(j\omega)$$

$$p(t) = g(t) * g(-t) = r_g(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) \ G^*(j\omega) = |G(j\omega)|^2$$

 $r_g(t)$: continuous time autocorrelation of g(t) (or time ambiguity function of g(t))

uesm Conversional Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 43/167

Transmission of PAM through linear channels



Linear Channel

- Linear channel model
 - **PAM** signal s(t) suffers a linear distortion during transmission
 - Gaussian noise is also added

$$r(t) = s(t) * h(t) + n(t)$$

h(t): linear system impulse response modeling linear distortion

n(t): stationary random process, white, Gaussian, zero mean, $S_n(j\omega) = N_0/2$ • Receiver filter f(t)

- Typical set up: matched filter $f(t) = g^*(-t) = g(-t)$
- Signal at the input of the sampler

$$q(t) = r(t) * f(t) = s(t) * h(t) * f(t) + n(t) * f(t)$$



Equivalent discrete channel for linear channels

Signal before sampling

$$q(t) = \left(\underbrace{\sum_{k}^{s(t)} A[k] \ g(t - kT)}_{k} \right) * h(t) * f(t) + n(t) * f(t)$$
$$= \sum_{k}^{s(t)} A[k] \left(g(t - kT) * h(t) * f(t) \right) + n(t) * f(t)$$
$$= \sum_{k}^{s(t)} A[k] \ p(t - kT) + z(t)$$

• p(t) = g(t) * h(t) * f(t): joint transmitter-channel-receiver response For a matched filter at the receiver

$$p(t) = g(t) * h(t) * g^*(-t) = r_g(t) * h(t)$$

- $r_g(t)$: time autocorrelation of g(t) (or time ambiguity function of g(t))
- Observation at demodulator output

$$q[n] = q(t)|_{t=nT} = q(nT) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A[k] p((n-k)T) + z(nT)$$

Equivalent discrete channel for linear channels (II)

• Definition of equivalent discrete channel p[n]

$$p[n] = p(t)|_{t=nT}$$

$$q[n] = \sum_{k} A[k] p[n-k] + z[n] = A[n] * p[n] + z[n]$$

$$\xrightarrow{A[n]} p[n] \xrightarrow{o[n]} q[n]}$$

- Same basic model as for Gaussian channels
 - New definition por p(t): it includes the effect of h(t)

$$p(t) = g(t) * h(t) * f(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) H(j\omega) F(j\omega)$$

$$\textbf{Using matched filters:} f(t) = g(-t) \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} F(j\omega) = G^*(j\omega)$$

$$p(t) = r_g(t) * h(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = |G(j\omega)|^2 H(j\omega)$$



Inter-Symbol Interference (ISI)

• Definition of equivalent discrete channel p[n]

$$p[n] = p(t)|_{t=nT} \qquad q[n] = o[n] + z[n]$$
Noiseless output $o[n] = \sum_{k} A[k] p[n-k] = A[n] * p[n]$

$$\xrightarrow{A[n]} p[n] \qquad o[n] \qquad q[n] \qquad z[n]$$

Ideal

$$p[n] = \delta[n] \to o[n] = A[n]$$

• Real: Intersymbol interference (ISI)

$$o[n] = A[n] * p[n] = \sum_{k} A[k] \ p[n-k] = \underbrace{A[n]}_{Ideal} \underbrace{p[0]}_{scaling} + \underbrace{\sum_{k\neq n} A[k] \ p[n-k]}_{k\neq n}$$

$$\underbrace{ISI}_{Marcelino \ Lázaro, 2023} \quad \text{OCW-UC3M Digital Communications} \quad \text{Linear modulations (Baseband) 47/167}$$

Inter-Symbol Interference - Analysis

• Intersymbol interference for equivalent discrete channel p[n]

$$o[n] = \underbrace{A[n]}_{\substack{\text{Ideal scaling}\\ \text{desired}}} \underbrace{p[0]}_{\substack{k \neq n}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k \neq n \\ k \neq n}} A[k] \ p[n-k]}_{\substack{\text{ISI interference}}}$$

Effect of intersymbol interference

$$\mathsf{ISI} = \sum_{\substack{k \\ k \neq n}} A[k] \ p[n-k]$$

Contribution at discrete instant n of previous and posterior symbols

$$o[n] = \underbrace{\cdots + A[n-2] \ p[2] + A[n-1] \ p[1]}_{precursor \ ISI} + \underbrace{A[n] \ p[0]}_{cursor} + \underbrace{A[n+1] \ p[-1] + A[n+2] \ p[-2] + \cdots}_{postcursor \ ISI}$$

Inter-Symbol Interference - Effect : Extended constellation

ISI produces an extended constellation at the receiver side

Values of noiseless discrete output o[n] = A[n] * p[n]



Inter-Symbol Interference - Effect : Extended constellation (II)



ISI : Joint transmitter-channel-receiver response p(t)

• Response p(t) determines the ISI behavior

- Noiseless output depends on the value of p[n]
 - * Sampling the joint transmitter-channel-receiver response p(t)
 - * Samling at symbol rate (at nT_s)

Definition of joint transmitter-channel-receiver response

► Gaussian channel

$$p(t) = g(t) * f(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) F(j\omega)$$

Lineal channel

$$p(t) = g(t) * h(t) * f(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) H(j\omega) F(j\omega)$$

• Usual receiver: matched filter $f(t) = g^*(-t)$

► Gaussian channel

$$\left(p(t) = r_g(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = |G(j\omega)|^2
ight)$$

Lineal channel

$$p(t) = r_g(t) * h(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = |G(j\omega)|^2 \ H(j\omega)$$

uc3m Creates and C

Nyquist criterion for zero ISI

 $p(t) \rightarrow$

• Conditions for avoiding ISI written in the time domain

$$\left| p[n] = p(t) \right|_{t=nT} = \delta[n] \qquad \underbrace{(\times C)}_{scaling/gain}$$

• Equivalent condition in the frequency domain

$$\underline{P\left(e^{j\omega}\right)}=1\quad (\times \mathbf{C})$$

 $= 1 \quad (\times C)$

Equivalent continuous-time expressions

$$\delta(t - nT) = \delta(t) \quad (\times C) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} P(j\omega) * \frac{2\pi}{T} \sum_{k = -\infty}^{\infty} \delta\left(j\omega - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} P\left(j\omega - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right) = 1 \quad (\times C)$$

Replicas of $P(j\omega)$ shifted multiples of $\frac{2\pi}{T}$ rad/s sum a constant

Nyquist in the freq. domain: an important implication

- Transmission at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds
- $P(j\omega)$: bandwidth *W* rad/s, with $W < \frac{\pi}{T} = \pi R_s$ rad/s
 - Equivalent to bandwidth $B = \frac{W}{2\pi}$ Hz with $B < \frac{R_s}{2}$ Hz



Nyquist in the freq. domain: an important implication (II)

- Transmission at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds
- $P(j\omega)$: bandwidth *W* rad/s, with $W = \frac{\pi}{T} = \pi R_s$ rad/s
 - Equivalent to bandwidth $B = \frac{W}{2\pi}$ Hz with $B = \frac{R_s}{2}$ Hz



Nyquist in the freq. domain: an important implication (III)

- Transmission at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds
- $P(j\omega)$: bandwidth *W* rad/s, with $W > \frac{\pi}{T} = \pi R_s$ rad/s
 - Equivalent to bandwidth $B = \frac{W}{2\pi}$ Hz with $B > \frac{R_s}{2}$ Hz



Optimal shape for $p(t) \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} P(j\omega)$ to transmit without ISI

Best bandwidth vs transmission rate trade-off

• Minimum bandwidth to transmit without ISI at rate $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds

$$W_{min} = \frac{\pi}{T} = \pi R_s \text{ rad/s } \left(B_{min} = \frac{R_s}{2} \text{ Hz} \right)$$

• Maximum rate without ISI through a bandwidth W rad/s (B Hz)

$$\left(\left. R_{s} \right|_{max} = rac{W}{\pi} = 2 \; B \; ext{bauds (symbols/s)}
ight)$$

• Optimal joint transmitter-channel-receiver response

$$\left(p(t) = \operatorname{sinc} \left(\frac{t}{T} \right) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = T \ \Pi \left(\frac{\omega T}{2\pi} \right) \right)$$



Example: p(t)



uc3m Carlos III Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 57/167

Example: p(t)





Example: p(t)



uc3m Carlos III Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 59/167

Example: p(t)





Example: $P(j\omega)$



uc3m Conversional Conversional Andread Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 61/167

Example: $P(j\omega)$



uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid Ev NG 54

Example: p(t)



uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 63/167

Example: $P(j\omega)$





Raised cosine pulses

- Family of bandlimited pulses
- Parameters
 - Symbol lenght (or rate): *T* seconds (or $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds)
 - Roll-off factor: α
 - ★ Range for roll-off factor: $\alpha \in [0, 1]$
 - * Particular case $\alpha = 0$: $h_{RC}^{0,T}(t) = \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\longleftrightarrow} H_{RC}^{0,T}(j\omega) = T \prod \left(\frac{\omega T}{2\pi}\right)$
- Analytic expressions (time and freq. domains)

$$\begin{split} \widehat{h_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(t)} &= \left(\frac{\sin(\pi t/T)}{\pi t/T}\right) \left(\frac{\cos(\alpha \pi t/T)}{1 - (2\alpha t/T)^2}\right) \\ \widehat{H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)} &= \begin{cases} T & 0 \le |\omega| < (1 - \alpha)\frac{\pi}{T} \\ \frac{T}{2} \left[1 - \sin\left(\frac{T}{2\alpha} \left(|\omega| - \frac{\pi}{T}\right)\right)\right] & (1 - \alpha)\frac{\pi}{T} \le |\omega| \le (1 + \alpha)\frac{\pi}{T} \\ 0 & |\omega| > (1 + \alpha)\frac{\pi}{T} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Bandwidth for a transmission rate depends on both parameters

$$W = (1 + \alpha) \times \frac{\pi}{T} \text{ rad/s}, \quad B = (1 + \alpha) \times \frac{R_s}{2} \text{ Hz}$$

$$W^{\text{u-sim}} \xrightarrow{\text{Corlosin}} \text{Marceline Lázaro, 2023} \xrightarrow{\text{OCW-UC3M Digital Communications}} \text{Linear modulations} (Baseband) 65/167$$

Raised cosine pulses: $h_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$

• $h_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$ satisfies the Nyquist criterion at *T* seconds (or at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds)



Raised cosine pulses: Freq. response $H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$



uc3m Conversional Conversional Address Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 67/167

Raised cosine pulses: Replicas of $H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$ each $\frac{2\pi}{T}$ rad/s



• $H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$ satisfies the Nyquist criterion at *T* seconds (or at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds)

Root-raised cosine pulses (Squared-root-raised cosine)

• Filters whose joint response (of two) is a raised cosine

$$h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t) * h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t) = h_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(t) \qquad H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega) H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega) = H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$$

- General procedure to obtain transmission filter $h_{RRC}(t)$
 - **1** Design in frequency domain from $H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$
 - 2 Divide in two contributions: $H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega) = \sqrt{H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)}$

3
$$h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t) = \mathcal{FT}^{-1} \left\{ H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega) \right\}$$

Root-raised cosine pulses



Carlos III

Marcelino Lázaro, 2023



- **Root-raised cosine pulses:** $h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$ $h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$ does **NOT satisfy** the Nyquist crit. at *T* s (or at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds) Except for $\alpha = 0$, because $h_{RRC}^{0,T}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \operatorname{sinc}(\frac{t}{T})$



Root-raised cosine pulses: $H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$



uc3m Conversional Conversional Address Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 71/167

Root-raised cosine pulses: Replicas of $H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$ each $\frac{2\pi}{T}$ rad/s



uc3m Conversional Conversional Action Conversional Action Conversion Action Action Conversion Action A
Raised cosines - side lobe attenuation



Raised cosines - implementation delay



- For implemententing the modulated waveform, a delay is necessary
 - Delay is related with the number of relevant side lobes that have to be cosidered before truncation
 - Delay is lower for higher values of α (higher bandwidth requirement)

• Example: generation of a 4-PAM waveform with $\alpha = 0$

- In the example, 25 side lobes are considered relevant (and therefore 25 side lobes are depicted)
- A delay of $25 \times T$ seconds is necessary to compute the addition
- Black signal is the last one with relevant contribution at t = 0 (related with A[25])



Raised cosines - implementation delay (II)



Review: random processes and linear systems



Theorem: X(t) is stationary, with mean m_X and autocorrelation function $R_X(\tau)$. The process is the input of a time-invariant linear system with impulse response h(t). In this case, *input and output processes*, X(t) and Y(t), are jointly stationary, being

$$m_Y = m_X \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) dt$$
$$R_Y(\tau) = R_X(\tau) * h(\tau) * h(-\tau)$$
$$R_{XY}(\tau) = R_X(\tau) * h(-\tau)$$

Moreover, it can be seen that

$$R_Y(\tau) = R_{XY}(\tau) * h(\tau)$$

Review: expressions in the frequency domain

• Mean for output process

$$m_Y = m_X H(0)$$

• Power spectral density of the output process

$$S_Y(j\omega) = S_X(j\omega) |H(j\omega)|^2$$

Crossed power spectral densities

$$egin{aligned} S_{XY}(j\omega) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathcal{FT} \left\{ R_{XY}(au)
ight\} \ S_{XY}(j\omega) &= S_X(j\omega) H^*(j\omega) \ S_{YX}(j\omega) &= S^*_{XY}(j\omega) = S_X(j\omega) H(j\omega) \end{aligned}$$

uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 77/167

Review: spectrum of continuous/discrete time signals

• Continuous signal x(t) and discretized x[n] sampled at T seg.

$$x[n] = x(t)\big|_{t=nT} = x(nT)$$

Usual notation

- $X(j\omega)$: spectrum (Fourier transform) of x(t)
- $X(e^{j\omega})$: spectrum of x[n]

• Relationship between both spectral responses

To obtain discrete from continuous

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} X\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)$$

To obtain continuous from discrete

$$X(j\omega) = T X \left(e^{j\omega T}
ight), \; |\omega| \leq rac{\pi}{T}$$

Review: properties of the continuous time autocorrelation function (time ambiguity function)

• Definition for deterministic finite energy function x(t)

 $r_x(t) = x(t) * x^*(-t)$

Informally: measure of similarity between a function and itself with a delay t

Expression in the frequency domain

$$R_{x}(j\omega) = \mathcal{FT}\{r_{x}(t)\} = \mathcal{FT}\{x(t)\} \times \mathcal{FT}\{x^{*}(-t)\}$$
$$= X(j\omega) \times X^{*}(j\omega) = |X(j\omega)|^{2}$$

- Maximum value is at t = 0: $|r_x(0)| \ge |r_x(t)|$
- Energy of the signal

Parseval:
$$\mathcal{E}{x(t)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |X(j\omega)|^2 d\omega$$

Using the continuous autocorrelation function (temporal ambiguity func.)

$$\mathcal{E}\{x(t)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_x(j\omega) \ d\omega \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{E}\{x(t)\} = r_x(0)$$

uc3m Contractions Contractions

Linear modulations (Baseband) 79/167

Properties of the noise at the receiver

• White noise n(t) ($S_n(j\omega) = N_0/2$) is filtered by receiver filter f(t)

$$\begin{array}{c} n(t) \\ \hline f(t) \\ t = nT \end{array}$$

Analysis in the frequency domain

PSD of filtered noise z(t)

$$S_z(j\omega) = S_n(j\omega) |F(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{N_0}{2} |F(j\omega)|^2$$

- ★ Non-flat PSD: Coloured (non-white) noise
- REMARK: unless $|F(j\omega)| = C$, i.e., an all-pass filter (amplifies/attenuates)
- PSD of sampled noise z[n]

$$S_{z}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{N_{0}}{2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} \left| \frac{F\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)}{\frac{R_{f}\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)}} \right|^{2}$$

★ Sampled noise can be white !!!!

Condition: $\frac{1}{T}\sum R_f\left(j\frac{\omega}{T}-j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right) = \text{constant}$ OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 80/167 Marcelino Lázaro, 2023



Conditions for sampled noise z[n] being white

• Sampled noise z[n] is white if

$$rac{1}{T}\sum_k R_f\left(jrac{\omega}{T}-jrac{2\pi k}{T}
ight)=C$$
 , which is equivalent to $R_f(e^{j\omega})=C$

$$\left(r_{f}[n] = r_{f}(t)\right|_{t=nT} = C \ \delta[n], \text{ which implies } C = r_{f}(0) = \mathcal{E}\{f(t)\}$$

• Equivalent statement for z[n] being white

- rightarrow z[n] is white if the continuous autocorrelation function of receiver filter $r_f(t)$ (or $R_f(j\omega)$) fulfills the same conditions that p(t) has to satisfy for zero ISI (Nyquist conditions)
- REMARK
 - Conditions for z[n] being white only depend on the shape of receiver filter f(t) !!!
- Power spectral density for z[n] when it is white

$$S_{z}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{N_{0}}{2} \times \mathcal{E}\{f(t)\} \rightarrow \text{if } f(t) \text{ is normalized } S_{z}(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{N_{0}}{2}$$

Consult Consult of the second distance of the second

Noise power and signal to noise ratio (SNR)

• If Nyquist ISI criterion is meet (ISI=0), the received observation is

$$q[n] = A[n] + z[n]$$

• In this case, signal to noise ratio at q[n] is

$$\left(\frac{S}{N}\right)_q = \frac{E\left[|A[n]|^2\right]}{E\left[|z[n]|^2\right]} = \frac{E_s}{\sigma_z^2}$$

• σ_z^2 is the power (variance) of noise sequence z[n]

$$\sigma_z^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_z(e^{j\omega}) \ d\omega$$

• If noise z[n] is white, with PSD $S_z(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{N_0}{2} \mathcal{E}{f(t)}$ $\overbrace{\sigma_z^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{N_0}{2} \times \mathcal{E}\{f(t)\} \ d\omega = \frac{N_0}{2} \times \mathcal{E}\{f(t)\} = \frac{N_0}{2} \times r_f(0)}$ ***** For a normalized receiver filter: $\sigma_z^2 = \frac{N_0}{2}$



Consequences of Nyquist criterion for Gaussian channels

A matched filter is assumed at the receiver

 $f(t) = g^*(-t) = g(-t)$ since g(t) is a real function

- Condition to avoid ISI
 - Joint response p(t) = g(t) * f(t) meets Nyquist criterion
 - **★** Using matched filters $p(t) = r_g(t)$

• Condition for z[n] being white

- Continuous autocorrelation of the receiver filter, $r_f(t)$, satisfies conditions of the Nyquist criterion
 - **★** Using matched filters $(r_f(t) = r_g(t))$

• Conclusion: both conditions are equivalent $p(t) = r_f(t) = r_g(t)$

 Transmitting through a Gaussian channel using matched filters, if ISI is avoided, sampled noise z[n] is white

```
uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 83/167
```

Avoidance of ISI in linear channels using matched filters

- Nyquist ISI criterion must be fulfilled for p[n] (or $P(j\omega)$)
 - Definition of p(t) includes now the effect of linear channel h(t)
- Design of $p(t)|P(j\omega)$ to fulfill Nyquist at symbol period T
- Design using matched filters at the receiver Response of transmitter filter in the frequency domain
 - $P(j\omega) = H(j\omega) |G(j\omega)|^2$
 - Therefore

$$\widehat{G(j\omega)} = egin{cases} \sqrt{rac{P(j\omega)}{H(j\omega)}}, & ext{if } H(j\omega)
eq 0 \ 0, & ext{in other case} \end{cases}$$

If the receiver filter is matched to the transmitter filter, this choice for the transmitter filter eliminates ISI

- $P(j\omega)$ is a design option
 - ★ Tipically, a raised-cosine response is selected

$$P(j\omega) = H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$$



Drawbacks of this design option

- Channel response, $H(j\omega)$, must be known
 - It can be difficult to know it
 - Channel can be time variant in practice
- Discrete noise sequence, z[n], is not white

$$S_{z}\left(e^{j\omega}\right) = \frac{N_{0}}{2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} \left| \underbrace{F\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)}_{\left|G\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)\right|^{2}} = \frac{N_{0}}{2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} \left| \frac{P\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)}{H\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)} \right|$$

REMARK: For matched filters $F(j\omega) = G^*(j\omega)$, which means $|F(j\omega)| = |G(j\omega)|$

- Memoryless symbol by symbol detector is not optimal
- All sequence q[n] has to be used to estimate the symbol at a given discrete instant n₀, A[n₀]
- Noise can be amplified
 - Channels with deep attenuation at some frequencies in the band
- Conclusion:
 - ★ Using matched filters, in general is not possible to simultaneously avoiding ISI and having white noise

Example: ISI=0 with matched filters



ucam Carrier and C

Example: ISI=0 with matched filters (II)

Power spectral density of noise z[n]



Using a generic receiver filter

• Generic receiver, not necesarily a matched filter

$$\begin{array}{c} r(t) \\ \hline f(t) \\ \hline f(t) \\ \hline t = nT \\ \end{array}$$

• Definition of joint response p(t)

$$p(t) = g(t) * h(t) * f(t), \ P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) \ H(j\omega) \ F(j\omega)$$

Equivalent discrete channel at symbol rate p[n]

$$p[n] = p(nT) = (g(t) * h(t) * f(t)) \Big|_{t=nT}$$

Filtered noise

$$z(t) = n(t) * f(t), \ z[n] = z(nT)$$

Power spectral density for discrete noise z[n]

$$S_{z}\left(e^{j\omega}\right) = \frac{N_{0}}{2} \times \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k} \left|F\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi}{T}k\right)\right|^{2}$$

Design of g(t) and f(t)

- Simultaneous avoidance of ISI and white noise (precoding)
 - Selection of $P(j\omega)$ fulfilling Nyquist
 - Selection of $F(j\omega)$ with $R_f(j\omega) = |F(j\omega)|^2$ fulfilling Nyquist
 - Then, transmitter filter is given by

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{P(j\omega)}{H(j\omega) F(j\omega)}$$

★ Usually presents serious implementation problems

The usual design

- Joint response: $P(j\omega) = H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$ * Transmission without ISI
- Receiver filter: $F(j\omega) = H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$
 - * Noise z[n] is white (because $R_f(j\omega) = H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)$)
- Transmitter filter

$$G(j\omega) = \frac{H_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)}{H(j\omega) \ H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)} = \frac{H_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(j\omega)}{H(j\omega)}$$

uc3m Carlos III Centos OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 89/167

Other design criteria

Filter matched to the joint transmitter-channel response

$$f(t) = g_h(-t)$$
, with $g_h(t) = g(t) * h(t)$

- Maximizes the signal to noise ratio
- Does not provides zero ISI and noise z[n] is not white
- Minimum mean squared error criterion: to maximize

$$\frac{E\left[|A[n] \ p[0]|^2\right]}{E\left[\left|\sum_{\substack{k \neq n \\ k \neq n}} A[k] \ p[n-k] + z[n]\right|^2\right]}$$



Typical set up for linear channels

• Receiver uses a matched filter f(t) = g(-t) with $r_f(t) = r_g(t)$ fulfilling Nyquist condition



Common choice: root-raised cosine filters

$$g(t) = h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t) \quad \rightarrow \quad f(t) = h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$$
$$g(t) * f(t) = r_g(t) = r_f(t) = h_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$$

- Consequences:
 - This ensures discrete filtered noise z[n] is white
 - ISI is present in the system (joint response p(t) then does not meet Nyquist condition)
 - Receivers can be specifically designed to deal with ISI (as it will be seen in Chapter 2)

uc3m Carlos ii Carlos Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 91/167

Review - Evaluation of Probability of Symbol Error (*P_e***)**

Definition

$$P_e = P(\hat{A}[n] \neq A[n])$$

 Evaluation - Averaging of probability of symbol error for each symbol in the constellation

$$P_e = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} p_A(\boldsymbol{a}_i) P_{e|\boldsymbol{a}_i}$$

 Calculation of conditional probabilities of symbol error (conditional probabilities of error)

$$P_{e|\boldsymbol{a}_i} = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}\notin I_i} f_{\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{a}_i) \ d\boldsymbol{q}$$

Conditional distribution of observations conditioned to transmission of the symbol a_i is integrated out of its decision region I_i

Review - Calculation of Bit Error Rate (BER)

• Conditional BER for each symbol *a_i* are averaged

$$BER = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} p_A(\boldsymbol{a}_i) \ BER_{\boldsymbol{a}_i}$$

• Calculation of conditional BER for *a_i*

$$BER_{a_i} = \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^{M-1} P_{e|a_i \to a_j} \frac{m_{e|a_i \to a_j}}{m}$$

• $P_{e|a_i \rightarrow a_j}$: probability of deciding $\hat{A} = a_j$ when $A = a_i$ was transmitted

$$P_{e|\boldsymbol{a}_i \to \boldsymbol{a}_j} = \int_{\boldsymbol{q}_0 \in I_j} f_{\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{q}_0|\boldsymbol{a}_i) \, d\boldsymbol{q}_0$$

- $m_{e|a_i \rightarrow a_j}$: number of bit errors associated to that decision
- m: number of bits per symbol in the constellation

```
uc3m Carrente Carrent
```

Example - 1-D *M*-ary constellation

- Example:
 - M = 4, equiprobable symbols $p_A(a_i) = \frac{1}{4}$
 - Constellation: $a_0 = -3$, $a_1 = -1$, $a_2 = +1$, $a_3 = +3$
 - Decision regions: thresholds $q_{u1} = -2$, $q_{u2} = 0$, $q_{u3} = +2$

$$I_0 = (-\infty, -2], I_1 = (-2, 0], I_2 = (0, +2], I_3 = (+2, +\infty)$$

Binary assignment

$$a_{0} \equiv 01, \ a_{1} \equiv 00, \ a_{2} \equiv 10, \ a_{3} \equiv 11$$

$$a_{0} \equiv 01 \qquad a_{1} \equiv 00 \qquad a_{2} \equiv 10 \qquad a_{3} \equiv 11$$

$$-3 \qquad -2 \qquad -1 \qquad 0 \qquad 1 \qquad 2 \qquad 3$$

$$I_{0} \qquad I_{1} \qquad I_{2} \qquad I_{3}$$

• No ISI ($p[n] = \delta[n]$) and white noise with variance $N_0/2$

$$q[n] = A[n] + z[n]$$

Case that was studied in "Communications Theory"

Example - 1-D *M*-ary constellation (II)

Probability of error

$$P_e = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{3} P_{e|a_i} = \frac{3}{2} Q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}} \right)$$

• Bit error rate (BER)

$$BER = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{3} BER_{a_i}$$
$$= \frac{3}{4} Q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_o/2}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} Q \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_o/2}} \right) - \frac{1}{4} Q \left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_o/2}} \right)$$

Analytic developments are detailed in next slides



Calculation of $P_{e|a_1}$



- Distribution $f_{\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{q}|\boldsymbol{a}_1)$
 - Gaussian with mean $a_1 = -1$ and variance $N_0/2$
- Conditional probability of error
 - Integration of $f_{q|A}(q|a_1)$ out of I_1

$$P_{e|a_1} = \int_{q \notin I_1} f_{q|A}(q|a_1) \ dq = 2Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)$$

uc3m Carlos III de Madrid CC SO BY NC SA

Marcelino Lázaro, 2023

- OCW-UC3M Digital Communications
- Linear modulations (Baseband) 97/167

Calculation of $P_{e|a_2}$



• Distribution $f_{q|A}(q|a_2)$

- Gaussian with mean $a_2 = +1$ and variance $N_0/2$
- Probability of error
 - Integration of $f_{q|A}(q|a_2)$ out of I_2

$$P_{e|a_2} = \int_{q \notin I_2} f_{q|A}(q|a_2) \, dq = 2Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)$$

Calculation of $P_{e|a_3}$



- Distribution $f_{q|A}(q|a_3)$
 - Gaussian with mean $a_3 = -3$ and variance $N_0/2$
- Probability of error
 - Integration of $f_{q|A}(q|a_3)$ out of I_3

$$P_{e|a_{3}} = \int_{q \notin I_{3}} f_{q|A}(q|a_{3}) \, dq = Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{0}/2}}\right)$$

uc3m Carlos III de Madrid BY NC SA

Marcelino Lázaro, 2023

- OCW-UC3M Digital Communications
- Linear modulations (Baseband) 99/167

Calculation of *BER*_{*a*₀}



Binary assignment: *a*₀ ≡ 01, *a*₁ ≡ 00, *a*₂ ≡ 10, *a*₃ ≡ 11
Distribution *f*_{*q*|*A*}(*q*|*a*₀): Gaussian with mean *a*₀ and variance *N*₀/2

$$BER_{a_0} = \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_1}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_1}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_2}} \times \underbrace{\frac{2}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_2}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_0 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3}}{m}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_0 \to a_3$$

uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Com

OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 100/167

Cálculation of BER_{a1}



Binary assignment: a₀ ≡ 01, a₁ ≡ 00, a₂ ≡ 10, a₃ ≡ 11
 Distribution f_{q|A}(q|a₁): Gaussian with mean a₁ and variance N₀/2

$$BER_{a_1} = \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_1 \to a_0}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_e|a_1 \to a_0}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_1 \to a_2}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_e|a_1 \to a_2}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_{e|a_1 \to a_3}} \times \underbrace{\frac{2}{m_e|a_1 \to a_3}}_{\frac{m_e|a_1 \to a_3}{m}}$$

uc3m Cardination Contractions Linear modulations (Baseband) 101/167

Calculation of BER_{a2}



Binary assignment: *a*₀ ≡ 01, *a*₁ ≡ 00, *a*₂ ≡ 10, *a*₃ ≡ 11
 Distribution *f*_{*q*|*A*}(*q*|*a*₂): Gaussian with mean *a*₂ and variance *N*₀/2

$$BER_{a_2} = \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_e|a_2 \to a_0} \times \underbrace{\frac{2}{2}}_{\frac{m_e|a_2 \to a_0}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_e|a_2 \to a_1} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_e|a_2 \to a_1}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) \right]}_{P_e|a_2 \to a_3} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_e|a_2 \to a_3}{m}}$$



uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Action Conversion Action Action

Calculation of BER_{a3}



• Binary assignment: $a_0 \equiv 01$, $a_1 \equiv 00$, $a_2 \equiv 10$, $a_3 \equiv 11$

• Distribution $f_{q|A}(q|a_3)$: Gaussian with mean a_3 and variance $N_0/2$

$$BER_{a_{3}} = \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_{0}/2}}\right)\right]}_{P_{e|a_{3}\rightarrow a_{0}}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_{3}\rightarrow a_{0}}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_{0}/2}}\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{5}{\sqrt{N_{0}/2}}\right)\right]}_{P_{e|a_{3}\rightarrow a_{1}}} \times \underbrace{\frac{2}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_{3}\rightarrow a_{1}}}{m}} + \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{0}/2}}\right) - \mathcal{Q}\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_{0}/2}}\right)\right]}_{P_{e|a_{3}\rightarrow a_{2}}} \times \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}}_{\frac{m_{e|a_{3}\rightarrow a_{2}}}{m}}$$

$$ucom \underbrace{\operatorname{Consent}}_{Consent} \text{ Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 103/167}$$

Modification of the binary assignment

Final result for previous binary assignment



If binary assignment is modified



• Terms $m_{e|a_i \rightarrow a_i}$ do vary \Rightarrow <u>BER is modified !!!</u>

$$BER = \frac{5}{4}Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) - \frac{1}{4}Q\left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)$$



Gray Coding

 Blocks of *m* bits assigned to symbols at minimum distance differ in only a single bit



Probability of error with and without ISI

- Example: 2-PAM modulation: $A[n] \in \{\pm 1\}$ at $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ bauds
- Receiver: normalized root-raised cosine with roll-off α

$$f(t) = h_{RRC}^{\alpha,T}(t) \rightarrow r_f(t) = f(t) * f(-t) = h_{RC}^{\alpha,T}(t)$$

$$z[n] \text{ is white with } \sigma^2 - \frac{N_0}{2}$$

$$z[n]$$
 is white with $\sigma_z^2 = \frac{N}{2}$

- Equivalent discrete channel: $p[n] = \delta[n] + \frac{1}{2}\delta[n \overline{1}]$
- ISI produces an extended constellation at the receiver side



Probability of error with and without ISI (II)

Conditional probability of error for A[n] = +1, i.e., $P_{e|A[n]=+1}$



uc3m Carlos and Carlos

Probability of error with and without ISI (III)

Conditional probability of error for A[n] = -1, i.e., $P_{e|A[n]=-1}$

uc3m Carlos III



Probability of error with and without ISI (IV)

• Probability of error without ISI

$$P_e = \frac{1}{2} P_{e|A[n]=+1} + \frac{1}{2} P_{e|A[n]=-1} = Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)$$

• Probability of error with ISI

$$\left(P_e = \frac{1}{2}P_{e|A[n]=+1} + \frac{1}{2}P_{e|A[n]=-1} = \frac{1}{2}Q\left(\frac{1/2}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}Q\left(\frac{3/2}{\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)\right)$$

Eye diagram (eye pattern)

- Monitoring tool for a digital communication system
 - Superposition of waveform pieces around a sampling point
 - ▶ Duration of each piece: 2T
 - Obtained using an osciloscope
 - ★ Trigger: governed by sampling signal
 - ★ Timebase: to cover 2T

Main features

- In the middle and in both sides (horizontaly), there are sampling instants
 - ★ Traces should have to go through values of the constellation
- Diversity of transition between sampling instants depend on the shape of transmitter and receiver filters
- It allows to detect several problems:
 - Problems/sensitivity to synchronism
 - Level of noise
 - Presence (and level) of ISI

Eye Diagram - $\alpha = 0$



uc3m GridsIII Control III (Carlos III) Carlos Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 111/167

Eye Diagram - $\alpha = 0$



Eye diagram - Examples



Eye Diagram - $\alpha = 1$



Eye Diagram - $\alpha = 1$



Eye width is higher as α increases
 Lower sensitivity to sampling synchronization and jitter effects

uc3m Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 115/167



Eye diagram - Examples (II)













Signals with raised cosines (ideal) - $\alpha = 0.75$



Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Baseband) 120/167





Signals with raised cosines (ideal) - Comparison



Constituents - $\alpha = 0$



Constituents - $\alpha = 1$



Constituents - p(t)**: triangle**



Bandpass PAM modulations

- Goal of a bandpass PAM modulation
 - To generate bandlimited modulated signals whose frequency response is bandpass
 - ★ Central frequency ω_c rad/s (or f_c = ^{ω_c}/_{2π} Hz)
 ★ Limited bandwidth W rad/s (or B = ^W/_{2π} Hz)
 - Appropriate signals to be transmitted through a bandpass channel



Bandpass (central frequency ω_c rad/s)



Bandpass PAM - Generation by AM modulation

- Simplest approach
- A baseband PAM is initially generated

$$s(t) = \sum_{n} A[n] g(t - nT)$$

- Then, this baseband PAM signal is modulated with an amplitude modulation. Several options are available
 - Conventional AM (double sided band with carrier)
 - Double sided band PAM (DSB-PAM)
 - Single sided band PAM (SSB-PAM)
 - ★ Lower sided band
 - ★ Upper sided band
 - Vestigial sided band PAM (VSB-PAM)
 - ★ Lower sided band
 - Upper sided band



Drawbacks of using a AM modulation

- Conventional AM and double side band AM (DSB-AM)
 - Spectral efficiency is reduced to the half (bandwidth is doubled)
- Single side band AM (SSB-AM)
 - Ideal analog side band filters are required
 - ★ Real filters introduce a distortion
- Vestigial side band AM (VSB-AM)
 - Analog vestigial band filters are required
 - ★ Strong implementation constraints
 - Spectral efficiency is reduced (slightly)
 - The bandwidth is increased by the size of the vestige

Modulation by using quadrature carriers

• Two sequences of symbols (not necessarily independent) are simultaneously transmitted (rate $R_s = \frac{1}{T}$ in both cases)



• Two baseband PAM signals are generated using g(t)

$$\left(s_I(t) = \sum_n A_I[n] g(t - nT) \qquad s_Q(t) = \sum_n A_Q[n] g(t - nT)\right)$$

 $s_I(t)$: in-phase component, $s_Q(t)$: quadrature component

• Generation of the bandpass signal, x(t), from $s_I(t)$ and $s_Q(t)$



Complex notation for bandpass PAM

• Complex sequence of symbols

$$\left(A[n] = A_I[n] + jA_Q[n]\right)$$

•
$$A_I[n] = \mathcal{R}e\{A[n]\}, \quad A_Q[n] = \mathcal{I}m\{A[n]\}$$

• Complex baseband signal, *s*(*t*):

$$s(t) = s_I(t) + js_Q(t) = \sum_n A[n] g(t - nT)$$

• The bandpass PAM signal can be written as follows

$$x(t) = \sqrt{2} \mathcal{R}e\left\{s(t) \ e^{j\omega_c t}\right\} = \sqrt{2} \mathcal{R}e\left\{\sum_n A[n] \ g(t - nT) \ e^{j\omega_c t}\right\}$$

Bandpass PAM modulator



uc3m Confosti Control Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 131/167

Relationship with a 2D signal space

• Signal in a 2D signal space can be written as

$$x(t) = \sum_{n} A_0[n] \phi_0(t - nT) + \sum_{n} A_1[n] \phi_1(t - nT)$$

• $\phi_0(t)$ and $\phi_1(t)$ are orthonormal signals

• In this case, this only happens if

$$\omega_c = rac{2\pi}{T} imes k, \; \; ext{with} \; k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

In this case

 $\bigcirc 0$

$$A_0[n] = A_I[n], \ A_Q[n] = A_1[n]$$

$$\phi_0(t) = g(t) \ \cos(\omega_c t), \quad \phi_1(t) = -g(t) \ \sin(\omega_c t)$$

$$\phi_0(t - nT) = g(t - nT) \ \cos(\omega_c(t - nT)) = g(t - nT) \ \cos(\omega_c t)$$

$$\phi_1(t - nT) = -g(t - nT) \ \sin(\omega_c(t - nT)) = -g(t - nT) \ \sin(\omega_c t)$$

Modulator 2D signal space





Bandpass PAM constellations

- 2D plotting of possible combinations for $A_I[n]$ and $A_O[n]$
- Typical constellations
 - QAM (Quadrature Amplitude Modulation) constellations
 - ★ $M = 2^m$ symbols, with *m* even
 - * Symbols arranged in a full squared lattice $(2^{m/2} \times 2^{m/2} \text{ levels})$ - Both $A_I[n]$ and $A_Q[n]$ use baseband PAM constellations

- Independent symbol mapping, bit assignment, and definition of decision regions are possible

$$E_s = \frac{2(M-1)}{3} \mathsf{J}$$

Crossed QAM constellations

- ★ $M = 2^m$ symbols, with *m* odd
- Symbols arranged in a non-full squared lattice
 Independent symbol mapping, bit assignment, and definition of decision regions are not possible

$$E_s = \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{31}{32} M - 1 \right)$$
 J

- PSK (Phase Shift Keying) constellations
 - * Symbols are drawn as points in a circle (radius $\sqrt{E_s}$)
 - Constant energy for all symbols $(E_s = |A[n]|^2)$



QAM constellations



uc3m Universidad Carlos III

Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 135/167

T

Gray coding for QAM

01	0001	0101	1101	1001
	•	•	•	•
00	0000	0100 •	1100 •	1000 •
10	0010	0110	1110	1010
	•	•	•	•
11	0011	0111	1111	1011
	•	•	•	•
	00	01	11	10



Crossed QAM constellations



Constellations: 8-QAM, 32-QAM y 128-QAM



Phase shift keying (PSK) modulation

• PSK constellation

$$A[n] = \sqrt{E_s} e^{j \varphi[n]}$$

- Constant modulus
- Information is conveyed in the symbol phase
- Waveform for PSK modulations

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \sqrt{2E_s} \mathcal{R}e\left\{\sum_n g(t - nT) \ e^{j(\omega_c t + \varphi[n])}\right\} \\ &= \sqrt{2E_s} \sum_n g(t - nT) \cos(\omega_c t + \varphi[n]) \end{aligned}$$

Phase shifts in transitions from symbol to symbol

PSK constellations



Constellations: 4-PSK (QPSK), 8-PSK y 16-PSK



Gray coding for PSK





Other constellations



Constellations 1-7-AM-PM y 32-hexagonal

uc3m Cardination Contractions Linear modulations (Bandpass) 141/167

Spectrum of a bandpass PAM

• Condition for cyclostationarity of signal *x*(*t*):

E[A[k+m]A[k]] = 0, for all $k, m, m \neq 0$

- Conditions for QAM constellations
 - * Symbol sequences $A_I[n]$ and $A_O[n]$ are mutually independent
 - * Autocorrelation functions of $A_I[\tilde{n}]$ and $A_Q[n]$ are identical
- Conditions for PSK constellations
 - ***** Samples of $\varphi[n]$ are independent

Under cyclostationarity the power spectral density function is

$$S_X(j\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \left[S_S(j\omega - j\omega_c) + S_S^*(-(j\omega + j\omega_c)) \right]$$

$$\left(S_{S}(j\omega)=rac{1}{T} S_{A}\left(e^{j\omega T}
ight) |G(j\omega)|^{2}
ight)$$

REMARK: A[n] is a complex sequence in bandpass PAM

Spectrum of a bandpass PAM (II)

• For white sequences of symbols: $S_A(e^{j\omega}) = E_s$

$$\left[S_{S}(j\omega)=rac{E_{s}}{T}\left|G(j\omega)
ight|^{2}
ight]$$

- $G(j\omega)$ is responsible of the shape of the spectrum
 - ★ $S_S(j\omega)$ is real and symmetric

$$\left(S_X(j\omega)=rac{1}{2}rac{E_s}{T}\left[\left|G(j\omega-j\omega_c)
ight|^2+\left|G(j\omega+j\omega_c)
ight|^2
ight]
ight]$$

• Example using pulses of raised cosine family



 \star Bandpass bandwidth W is double of equivalent baseband bandwidth W_{eq}



Transmitted power

• The mean transmitted power is

$$\left(P_X = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_X(j\omega) \ d\omega\right)$$

• If symbol sequence *A*[*n*] is white

$$S_A\left(e^{j\omega}
ight) = E_s, \qquad S_S(j\omega) = rac{E_s}{T} \left|G(j\omega)\right|^2$$

Power for a white symbol sequence

$$\left(P_X = \frac{E_s}{T} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(j\omega)|^2 d\omega = \frac{E_s}{T} \times \mathcal{E}\{g(t)\}\right)$$

★ For normalized pulses (with unitary energy)

$$P_X = \frac{E_s}{T} = E_s \times R_s$$
 Watts
Demodulator for bandpass PAM

- Demodulation and a baseband filter structure can be used
 - Complex notation and implementation by components can be seen in the following pictures



Equivalent alternative demodulator

• Signal at the input of the sampler (using complex notation)

$$q(t) = \left(y(t) \ e^{-j\omega_c t}\right) * \left(\sqrt{2} f(t)\right)$$

Expression for the convolution

$$q(t) = \sqrt{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\tau) y(t-\tau) e^{j\omega_c \tau} e^{-j\omega_c t} d\tau$$

 Rearranging terms, an equivalent demodulation scheme is obtained

$$q(t) = e^{-j\omega_c t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{2} f(\tau) \ e^{j\omega_c \tau} \ y(t-\tau) \ d\tau$$
$$q(t) = e^{-j\omega_c t} \left(y(t) * \left(\sqrt{2} f(t) \ e^{j\omega_c t} \right) \right)$$

Bandpass filtering and then demodulation

Equivalent alternative demodulator (II)



uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 147/167

Noise characteristics at the receiver



Properties:

uc3m Carlos III

1 z(t) is strict sense stationary only if $\ell(t)$ es circularly symmetric

NOTE: A complex process X(t) is circularly symmetric if real and imaginary parts, $X_r(t)$ and $X_i(t)$, are jointly stationary, and their correlations satisfy

$$R_{X_r}(\tau) = R_{X_i}(\tau), \ R_{X_r,X_i}(\tau) = -R_{X_i,X_r}(\tau)$$

2 $\ell(t)$ is circularly symmetric if ω_c is higher than bandwidth of filter $f_c(t)$ (narrow band system)

$$S_{\ell}(j\omega) = 2 S_n(j\omega) |F(j\omega - j\omega_c)|^2$$

Noise signal z(t) at the receiver

 $z(t) = z_I(t) + j \, z_Q(t)$

• z(t) is circularly symmetric and its power spectral density is

$$S_z(j\omega) = 2 S_n(j\omega + j\omega_c) |F(j\omega)|^2$$

- If the process is symmetric, its real and imaginary parts, $z_I(t)$ and $z_O(t)$, have the same variance and are independent for any time instant t
- ▶ In general, $z_I(t_1)$ and $z_Q(t_2)$, for $t_1 \neq t_2$ are not independent
- If spectrum is hermitic, $S_z(j\omega) = S_z^*(-j\omega)$, $z_I(t_1)$ and $z_Q(t_2)$, for $t_1 \neq t_2$ are also independent

$$l_1 \neq l_2$$
 are also independent

* If n(t) is white, this is fulfilled when f(t) is real

uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Antice Antice

Discrete noise sequence z[n] at the receiver

$$z[n] = z_I[n] + j \, z_Q[n] \bigg)$$

• z[n] is circularly symmetric

$$S_{z}\left(e^{j\omega}\right) = \frac{2}{T} \sum_{k} S_{n}\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} + j\frac{\omega_{c}}{T} - j\frac{2\pi k}{T}\right) \left|F\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi k}{T}\right)\right|^{2}$$

For white noise n(t)

$$S_n(j\omega) = \frac{N_0}{2} \Rightarrow S_z\left(e^{j\omega}\right) = N_0 \frac{1}{T} \sum_k \left|F\left(j\frac{\omega}{T} - j\frac{2\pi k}{T}\right)\right|^2$$

Sampled noise z[n] can be white

• This happens if the ambiguity function of f(t), $r_f(t) = f(t) * f^*(-t)$, satisfies the conditions of Nyquist ISI criterion at symbol rate

$$S_z(j\omega) = N_0 \times \mathcal{E} \{f(t)\}$$

- ★ $z_I[n]$ and $z_O[n]$ are independent for any instant n
- ★ $z_I[n_1]$ and $z_O[n_2]$, for $n_1 \neq n_2$, are independent

$$\left(S_{z_{I}}(j\omega) = S_{z_{Q}}(j\omega) = \frac{N_{0}}{2} \times \mathcal{E}\left\{f(t)\right\}\right)$$



Variance and distribution of z[n]

• The variance of complex discrete noise is

$$\sigma_z^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S_z \left(e^{j\omega} \right) \, d\omega$$

• In noise n(t) is white, with $S_n(j\omega) = N_0/2$ W/Hz, and if $r_f(t)$ is normalized and satisfies the Nyquist ISI criterion at $R_s(T)$

$$\sigma_z^2 = N_0 \qquad \left(\sigma_{z_I}^2 = \sigma_{z_Q}^2 = \frac{N_0}{2}\right)$$

<u>**REMARK:**</u> remember that $z[n] = z_I[n] + j z_Q[n]$

- If noise is circularly symmetric
 - Real and imaginary parts (z_I[n] and z_Q[n]) are independent and both have variance N₀/2
 - Probability density function of noise level is

$$f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{\pi N_0} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{N_0}}$$

<u>NOTE</u>: If receiver filter is not normalized, noise variance is multiplied by $\mathcal{E}{f(t)}$

uc3m Conversional Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 151/167

Equivalent discrete channel

Sampled signal at the output of the matched filter

$$q[n] = q(t)|_{t=nT} = q(nT)$$
, with $q(t) = \sum_{n} A[n] p(t - nT) + z(t)$

Bandpass equivalent discrete channel:

$$p[n] = p(t)|_{t=nT} = p(nT)$$
 $q[n] = A[n] * p[n] +$

• Definition of the complex equivalent baseband channel, $h_{eq}(t)$

$$h_{eq}(t) = e^{-j\omega_c t} h(t) \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad H_{eq}(j\omega) = H(j\omega + j\omega_c)$$

z|n

The behavior of the channel around central frequency ω_c is shifted down to baseband

Joint transmiter-channel-receiver response

$$\underline{p(t) = g(t) * h_{eq}(t) * f(t)} \quad \stackrel{\mathcal{FT}}{\leftrightarrow} \quad P(j\omega) = G(j\omega) H_{eq}(j\omega) F(j\omega)$$

Complex equivalent baseband channel



Complex equivalent baseband channel (II)



Equivalent discrete channels - baseband and bandpass PAM



- Identification of baseband and bandpass PAM
 - ► Symbols *A*[*n*]
 - Equivalent discrete channel p[n]
 - Discrete noise z[n]
 - ★ Are real in baseband PAM
 - ★ Are complex in bandpass PAM



ISI: Extended constellation



• Example of ISI (memory fo p[n], $K_p = 1$)

$$p[n] = \delta[n] + a \, \delta[n-1], \qquad o[n] = A[n] + a \, A[n-1]$$

► Transmission of a symbol at *A*[*n*]

- At the receiver an <u>extended constellation</u> is seen around this symbol : the point in each instant will depend on the value of the previous symbol (M posibilities))
- ★ Noise will also be introducing additional distortion



ISI: Extended constellation (II)



• Example of ISI (memory of p[n], $K_p = 1$)

$$p[n] = \delta[n] + a \,\delta[n-1], \qquad o[n] = A[n] + a \,A[n-1]$$

- If a increases the points of the extended constellation will separate more from it
- If memory of p[n] increases, the size of the constellation increases exponentially

 M^{K_p} possible values for each symbol

```
uc3m Carlos III
           \odot
                    Marcelino Lázaro, 2023
                                          OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 157/167
```

ISI: Extended constellation (III)



• Example of ISI (memory of p[n], $K_p = 2$)

$$p[n] = \delta[n] + a \, \delta[n-1] + b \, \delta[n-2]$$

$$o[n] = A[n] + a \, A[n-1] + b \, A[n-2]$$

• If memory of p[n] increases, the size of the constellation increases exponentially

 M^{K_p} posibles valores por cada símbolo

Scattering diagram

- Monitoring tool for bandpass system
 - Plotting of $\mathcal{R}e(q[n])$ versus $\mathcal{I}m(q[n])$
 - Ideally: the transmitted constellation must be plotted
 - Allows to monitor noise level, ISI level, synchronism errors



Review - Product with a sinusoid

To multiply with a sinusoid of frequency ω_c generates, spectraly, two replicas of the signal spectrum, shifted ±ω_c





Analysis of modulation / demodulation

Block diagram for transmitter and receiver



- Transmitter multiplies two baseband signals by two orthogonal carriers
- Receiver demodulates each component and then filters with f(t)
 - Receiver filter f(t) has a baseband characteristic
 - Typical set-up: root-raised cosine filter

uc3m Carlos III COSO Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 161/167

Analysis of modulation / demodulation (II)

Undistorted received signal (modulated signal) has the shape

 $y(t) = A \cos(\omega_c t) + B \sin(\omega_c t)$

At the receiver, signal processing is splitted in two components

$$q_I(t) \equiv \text{filter} \left[A \cos(\omega_c t) + B \sin(\omega_c t) \right] \times \cos(\omega_c t)$$

$$q_O(t) \equiv \text{filter} \left[A \cos(\omega_c t) + B \sin(\omega_c t) \right] \times \sin(\omega_c t)$$

Trigonometric identities and removing (filtering) of bandpass terms



Analysis of modulation / demodulation (III)

- The product of two carriers allows to recover the transmitted baseband signals
 - Products $\cos(\omega_c t) \times \cos(\omega_c t)$ or $\sin(\omega_c t) \times \sin(\omega_c t)$ introduce a $\frac{1}{2}$ factor
 - **★** Factors $\sqrt{2}$ are introduced at transmiter and receiver to compensate it
 - Complex notation fails to represent this scaling
 - **★** Mathematically: $\sqrt{2} e^{j\omega_c} \times \sqrt{2} e^{-j\omega_c} = 2$
 - 2 times the amplitude of the product of cosines or sines
 - ★ This has to be taken into account



Non-coherent receivers

- Receiver whose demodulator has a phase that is different than phase at modulator
- Produces a rotation in the received constellation
- A coherent receiver needs to recover the phase of received signal (with a PLL) ★ Additional cost for PLL (Phase Locked Loop)

Sinusoids with different phases or frequencies



Carlos II Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 163/167

Binary transmission rate (*R*^{*b*} **bits/s)**

- Binary transmission rate is obtained as $(R_b = m \times R_s)$ bits/s
 - Symbol rate: R_s bauds (symbols/s)
 - Number of bits per symbol in the constellation: m

$$m = \log_2(M)$$

M: number of symbols of the constellation

- Limitation in the achievable binary rate
 - Limitation in R_s : available bandwidth (B Hz)

Using filters of the raised cosine family

BASEBANDBANDPASS
$$R_{s|max} = \frac{2B}{1+\alpha}$$
 $R_{s|max} = \frac{B}{1+\alpha}$

- ▶ Limitation on the number of symbols *M* (and therefore in *m*)
 - ★ Power limitation limits mean energy per symbol $E_s = E ||A[n]|^2$
 - This limits the maximum modulus of the constellation
 - * Performance requirements limit the minimum distance between symbols

$$P_e \approx k \ Q \left(\frac{d_{min}}{2\sqrt{N_0/2}}\right)$$

\star *E*_s and *P*_e determine a maximum constellation density

```
uc3m Cardesili Control Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 165/167
```

Constellation density - Example - QAM



uc3m Universidad Carlos III de Madrid Carlos S @

Constellation density - Example - QAM

- Increasing constellation size (*M* symbols):
 - Binary rate is increased
 - ★ Number of bits per symbols is increased $m = \log_2 M$
 - Lower performance for a given E_s
 - * Distance between points of the constellation is reduced

Example for <i>M</i> -QAM constellations						
	M (symbols)	<i>m</i> (bits/symbol)	E_s with no	ormalized levels ($d_{min}=2)$	d_{min} with $E_s = 2$
	4 2		2			2
	16	4		10		0.8944
	64	8		42		0.4364
	256	16		170		0.2169
	4-QAM	16- C	AM	64-QAM		256-QAM
 	• $+1$ - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	- - - - - -			



ucam Conversional Conversional Marcelino Lázaro, 2023 OCW-UC3M Digital Communications Linear modulations (Bandpass) 167/167